

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/630,591	<b>Applicant(s)</b> GATTUSO ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b> Briggitte R. Hammond	<b>Art Unit</b> 2833

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** new

(1) Briggitte R. Hammond.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Wei Te Chung.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**
**Rejection(s) discussed:**
*USC 35 102/103*
**Claims discussed:**

1

**Prior art documents discussed:**
*Binns 6,137,298, Goodwin 6,545,879, Conroy 6,741,089, 6,162,066*
**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Applicant's representative agreed to amend the claims to define over the prior art and to make claim unobvious. Applicant's representative also agreed to cancel claims 39-43 in view of prior art to advance prosecution.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

---

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

---

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)